2220 - Not Served . 2221	- Served
	- Not Served
	- Served By Mail
2420 - Served By Publication 2421	- Served By Publication
SUMMONS ALIA	S - SUMMONS (7-90) CCG-I
	RT OF COOK COUNTY, ILLINOIS RTMENT, LAW DIVISION
JOSE MARTINEZ and OFELIA MARTINEZ	2009L011468 CALENDAR/ROOM No. TIME 00:00
Plaintiff,) FI Motor Vehic
,,	.)
v.) PLEASE SERVE DEFENDANT AT:
) The Mason and Dixon Lines, Inc.
THE MASON AND DIXON LINES, et al.,) c/o: CT Corporation System, Reg. Agent
, ,) 208 S. LaSalle Street, Suite 814
Defendants.) Chicago, IL 60604
	SUMMONS
	BUNINGING
To each defendant:	
	KED IN THE COMPLAINT, A COPY OF WHICH IS
HERETO ATTACHED.	
HERETO ATTACHED. To the officer:	
To the officer: This summons must be returned by service, with endorsement of service and f	the officer or other person to whom it was given for fees, if any, immediately after service. If service cannot I so endorsed. This summons may not be served later SEP 282009
To the officer: This summons must be returned by service, with endorsement of service and f be made, this summons shall be returned than 30 days after its date.	the officer or other person to whom it was given for fees, if any, immediately after service. If service cannot I so endorsed. This summons may not be served later
To the officer: This summons must be returned by service, with endorsement of service and f be made, this summons shall be returned than 30 days after its date.	the officer or other person to whom it was given for fees, if any, immediately after service. If service cannot I so endorsed. This summons may not be served later SEP 282009
To the officer: This summons must be returned by service, with endorsement of service and f be made, this summons shall be returned than 30 days after its date.	the officer or other person to whom it was given for fees, if any, immediately after service. If service cannot is so endorsed. This summons may not be served later SEP 2 8 2009 NESS,, 2009
To the officer: This summons must be returned by service, with endorsement of service and f be made, this summons shall be returned than 30 days after its date. WIT	the officer or other person to whom it was given for fees, if any, immediately after service. If service cannot I so endorsed. This summons may not be served later SEP 2 8 2009 NESS,, 2009 DOROTHY BROWN Clerkof Court
To the officer: This summons must be returned by service, with endorsement of service and f be made, this summons shall be returned than 30 days after its date. WIT	the officer or other person to whom it was given for fees, if any, immediately after service. If service cannot is so endorsed. This summons may not be served later SEP 2 8 2009 NESS,
To the officer: This summons must be returned by service, with endorsement of service and f be made, this summons shall be returned than 30 days after its date. WIT Name: CLIFFORD LAW OFFICES, P.C. Attorney for: Plaintiff	the officer or other person to whom it was given for fees, if any, immediately after service. If service cannot is so endorsed. This summons may not be served later SEP 2 8 2009 NESS,
To the officer: This summons must be returned by service, with endorsement of service and f be made, this summons shall be returned than 30 days after its date. WIT Name: CLIFFORD LAW OFFICES, P.C. Attorney for: Plaintiff Address: 120 N. LaSalle St.	the officer or other person to whom it was given for fees, if any, immediately after service. If service cannot it so endorsed. This summons may not be served later SEP 2 8 2009 NESS,
To the officer: This summons must be returned by service, with endorsement of service and f be made, this summons shall be returned than 30 days after its date. WIT Name: CLIFFORD LAW OFFICES, P.C. Attorney for: Plaintiff	the officer or other person to whom it was given for fees, if any, immediately after service. If service cannot is so endorsed. This summons may not be served later SEP 2 8 2009 NESS,
To the officer: This summons must be returned by service, with endorsement of service and f be made, this summons shall be returned than 30 days after its date. WIT Name: CLIFFORD LAW OFFICES, P.C. Attorney for: Plaintiff Address: 120 N. LaSalle St.	the officer or other person to whom it was given for fees, if any, immediately after service. If service cannot it so endorsed. This summons may not be served later SEP 2 8 2009 NESS,
To the officer: This summons must be returned by service, with endorsement of service and f be made, this summons shall be returned than 30 days after its date. WIT Name: CLIFFORD LAW OFFICES, P.C. Attorney for: Plaintiff Address: 120 N. LaSalle St. City: Chicago, IL 60602	the officer or other person to whom it was given for fees, if any, immediately after service. If service cannot it so endorsed. This summons may not be served later SEP 2 8 2009 NESS,
To the officer: This summons must be returned by service, with endorsement of service and f be made, this summons shall be returned than 30 days after its date. WIT Name: CLIFFORD LAW OFFICES, P.C. Attorney for: Plaintiff Address: 120 N. LaSalle St. City: Chicago, IL 60602 Telephone: 312/899-9090	the officer or other person to whom it was given for fees, if any, immediately after service. If service cannot it so endorsed. This summons may not be served later SEP 2 8 2009 NESS,
To the officer: This summons must be returned by service, with endorsement of service and f be made, this summons shall be returned than 30 days after its date. WIT Name: CLIFFORD LAW OFFICES, P.C. Attorney for: Plaintiff Address: 120 N. LaSalle St. City: Chicago, IL 60602 Telephone: 312/899-9090 Atty. No.: 32640	the officer or other person to whom it was given for fees, if any, immediately after service. If service cannot it so endorsed. This summons may not be served later SEP 2 8 2009 NESS,
To the officer: This summons must be returned by service, with endorsement of service and f be made, this summons shall be returned than 30 days after its date. WIT Name: CLIFFORD LAW OFFICES, P.C. Attorney for: Plaintiff Address: 120 N. LaSalle St. City: Chicago, IL 60602 Telephone: 312/899-9090 Atty. No.: 32640	the officer or other person to whom it was given for fees, if any, immediately after service. If service cannot is so endorsed. This summons may not be served later SEP 2 8 2009 NESS,

BTN/rc 9N-0072

IN THE CIRCUIT COURT OF COOK COUNTY, ILLINOIS COUNTY DEPARTMENT, LAW DIVISION

JOSE MARTINEZ and) 2009L011468 ·
OFELIA MARTINEZ,	CALENDAR/ROOM X
,	TIME 00:00
Plaintiffs,	PI Motor Webiqle
vs.	No. 型體等工
THE MASON AND DIXON LINES,) Plaintiffs demand trial by fury.
INCORPORATED, a corporation, and	(A) 岩宝虫: 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1
DAVID GONZALEZ SANCHEZ,	
Defendants.	()

COMPLAINT AT LAW

COUNT I

NEGLIGENCE- MASON AND DIXON LINES - JOSE MARTINEZ

Plaintiff, JOSE MARTINEZ, by and through his attorneys, CLIFFORD OFFICES, P.C., complaining of the Defendant, THE MASON AND DIXON LINES, INCORPORATED, a corporation ("MASON AND DIXON LINES"), states as follows:

- On and before October 12, 2007, US 59 was a public highway, running in a generally north and south direction near Livingston, Polk County, Texas.
- On and before October 12, 2007, there was a private truck stop located on US 59 near mile post 440 near Livingston, Polk County, Texas.
- 3. On and before October 12, 2007, Defendant, MASON AND DIXON LINES, was a corporation engaged in interstate commercial trucking and does business in Cook County, Illinois.
 - 4. On October 12, 2007, David Gonzalez Sanchez was the duly authorized agent and/or

employee of Defendant, MASON AND DIXON LINES, and at all times relevant hereto was acting within the scope of his agency and/or employment.

- On October 12, 2007, David Gonzalez Sanchez drove a semi truck and trailer on US
 near Polk County, Texas; said truck was owned and operated by MASON AND DIXON LINES.
- 6. On October 12, 2007, Plaintiff JOSE MARTINEZ, owned operated, managed and maintained a GMC Envoy, traveling in a northbound direction on US 59, near mile post 440, near Livingston, Polk County, Texas; Plaintiff, OFELIA MARTINEZ, was a passenger in said vehicle and both Plaintiffs are residents of Illinois.
- 7. On October 12, 2007, at the time and place aforesaid, David Gonzalez Sanchez made a right hand turn, turning out of the private truck stop and onto US 59 and in front of the vehicle driven by the Plaintiffs, JOSE MARTINEZ and OFELIA MARTINEZ.
- 8. On October 12, 2007, the vehicle of Plaintiffs, JOSE MARTINEZ and OFELIA MARTINEZ, made contact with the semi truck and trailer directly in front of them, driven by David Gonzalez Sanchez.
- On October 12, 2007, MASON AND DIXON LINES owed a duty to the Plaintiff,
 JOSE MARTINEZ, to exercise reasonable care in the operation and control of its motor vehicle.
- 10. On October 12, 2007, MASON AND DIXON LINES, by and through its agent or employee, David Gonzalez Sanchez, was negligent in one or more of the following respects:
 - negligently operated, managed, maintained and controlled its motor vehicle;
 - b. operated a motor vehicle without keeping a proper and sufficient lookout;
 - c. failed to exercise due care as to avoid colliding with another vehicle;
 - d. failed to give audible warning with his horn when such warning was

reasonably necessary to ensure safety;

- e. failed to provide or obey sufficient signals, designators and markings so as to ensure the safe and proper passage of vehicle traffic;
- f. failed to survey, monitor, control and yield to vehicular traffic;
- g. failed to yield the right-of-way to Plaintiff and his vehicle;
- h. was otherwise careless and negligent.
- 11. As a direct and proximate result of one or more of the aforesaid negligent acts, Plaintiff, JOSE MARTINEZ sustained injuries of a personal, permanent and pecuniary nature.

WHEREFORE, Plaintiff, JOSE MARTINEZ demands judgment against Defendant, THE MASON AND DIXONLINES, INCORPORATED, in an amount in excess of FIFTY THOUSAND DOLLARS (\$50,000.00).

COUNT II

<u>NEGLIGENCE- MASON AND DIXON LINES - OFELIA MARTINEZ</u>

Plaintiff, OFELIA MARTINEZ, by and through her attorneys, CLIFFORD OFFICES, P.C., complaining of the Defendant, THE MASON AND DIXON LINES, INCORPORATED, a corporation ("MASON AND DIXON LINES), states as follows:

- On and before October 12, 2007, US 59 was a public highway, running in a generally north and south direction near Livingston, Polk County, Texas.
- On and before October 12, 2007, there was a private truck stop located on US 59 in
 Polk County, Texas.
- On and before October 12, 2007, Defendant, MASON AND DIXON LINES, was a corporation engaged in interstate commercial trucking and does business in Cook County, Illinois.

- 4. On October 12, 2007, David Gonzalez Sanchez was the duly authorized agent and/or employee of Defendant, MASON AND DIXON LINES, and at all times relevant hereto was acting within the scope of his agency and/or employment.
- 5. On October 12, 2007, David Gonzalez Sanchez operated a semi truck and trailer US
 59 at or near Polk County, Texas; said truck was owned and operated by MASON AND DIXON
 LINES.
- 6. On October 12, 2007, Plaintiff JOSE MARTINEZ, owned operated managed and maintained a GMC Envoy, traveling in a northbound direction on US 59, near miles post 440, in Livingston, Polk County, Texas; OFELIA MARTINEZ was a passenger in said vehicle and both Plaintiffs are residents of Illinois.
- 7. On October 12, 2007, at the time and place aforesaid David Gonzalez Sanchez made a right hand turn, turning out of the private truck stop and onto US 59 and in front of the vehicle driven by the Plaintiffs, JOSE MARTINEZ and OFELIA MARTINEZ.
- 8. On October 12, 2007, the Plaintiffs, JOSE MARTINEZ and OFELIA MARTINEZ, made contact with the semi truck and trailer directly in front of them, operated by David Gonzalez Sanchez.
- 9. On October 12, 2007, MASON AND DIXON LINES owed a duty to the Plaintiff, OFELIA MARTINEZ, to exercise reasonable care in the operation and control of its motor vehicle.
- 10. On October 12, 2007, MASON AND DIXON LINES, by and through its agent or employee, David Gonzalez Sanchez, was negligent in one or more of the following respects:
 - negligently operated, managed, maintained and controlled its motor vehicle;
 - operated a motor vehicle without keeping a proper and sufficient lookout;

- c. failed to exercise due care as to avoid colliding with another vehicle;
- d. failed to give audible warning with his horn when such warning was reasonably necessary to ensure safety;
- e. failed to provide or obey sufficient signals, designators and markings so as to ensure the safe and proper passage of vehicle traffic;
- f. failed to survey, monitor, control and yield to vehicular traffic;
- g. failed to yield the right-of-way to Plaintiff and her vehicle;
- h. was otherwise careless and negligent.
- 11. As a direct and proximate result of one or more of the aforesaid negligent acts Plaintiff, OFELIA MARTINEZ, sustained injuries of a personal, permanent and pecuniary nature.

WHEREFORE, Plaintiff, OFELIA MARTINEZ, demands judgment against Defendant, THE MASON AND DIXON LINES, INCORPORATED, in an amount in excess of FIFTY THOUSAND DOLLARS (\$50,000.00).

COUNT III

NEGLIGENCE- DAVID GONZALEZ SANCHEZ - JOSE MARTINEZ

Plaintiff, JOSE MARTINEZ, by and through his attorneys, CLIFFORD OFFICES, P.C., complaining of the Defendant, DAVID GONZALEZ SANCHEZ, states as follows:

- 1. On and before October 12, 2007, US 59 was a public highway, running in a generally north and south direction near Livingston, Polk County, Texas.
- 2. On and before October 12, 2007, there was a private truck stop located on US 59 near mile post 440 near Livingston, Polk County, Texas.
- On and before October 12, 2007, Defendant, MASON AND DIXON LINES, was a corporation engaged in interstate commercial trucking and does business in Cook County, Illinois.

- 4. On October 12, 2007, David Gonzalez Sanchez was the duly authorized agent and/or employee of Defendant, MASON AND DIXON LINES, and at all times relevant hereto was acting within the scope of his agency and/or employment.
- On October 12, 2007, David Gonzalez Sanchez drove a semi truck and trailer on US
 near Polk County, Texas; said truck was owned and operated by MASON AND DIXON LINES.
- 6. On October 12, 2007, Plaintiff JOSE MARTINEZ, owned operated, managed and maintained a GMC Envoy, traveling in a northbound direction on US 59, near mile post 440, near Livingston, Polk County, Texas; Plaintiff, OFELIA MARTINEZ, was a passenger in said vehicle and both Plaintiffs are residents of Illinois.
- 7. On October 12, 2007, at the time and place aforesaid, David Gonzalez Sanchez made a right hand turn, turning out of the private truck stop and onto US 59 and in front of the vehicle driven by the Plaintiffs, JOSE MARTINEZ and OFELIA MARTINEZ.
- 8. On October 12, 2007, the vehicle of Plaintiffs, JOSE MARTINEZ and OFELIA MARTINEZ, made contact with the semi truck and trailer directly in front of them, driven by David Gonzalez Sanchez.
- On October 12, 2007, DAVID GONZALEZ SANCHEZ owed a duty to the Plaintiff,
 JOSE MARTINEZ, to exercise reasonable care in the operation and control of his motor vehicle.
- 10. On October 12, 2007, DAVID GONZALEZ SANCHEZ was negligent in one or more of the following respects:
 - a. negligently operated, managed, maintained and controlled his motor vehicle;
 - b. operated a motor vehicle without keeping a proper and sufficient lookout;
 - failed to exercise due care as to avoid colliding with another vehicle;

- d. failed to give audible warning with his horn when such warning was reasonably necessary to ensure safety;
- e. failed to provide or obey sufficient signals, designators and markings so as to ensure the safe and proper passage of vehicle traffic;
- f. failed to survey, monitor, control and yield to vehicular traffic;
- g. failed to yield the right-of-way to Plaintiff and his vehicle;
- h. was otherwise careless and negligent.
- 11. As a direct and proximate result of one or more of the aforesaid negligent acts, Plaintiff, JOSE MARTINEZ, sustained injuries of a personal, permanent and pecuniary nature.

WHEREFORE, Plaintiff, JOSE MARTINEZ demands judgment against Defendant, DAVID GONZALEZ SANCHEZ, in an amount in excess of FIFTY THOUSAND DOLLARS (\$50,000.00).

COUNT IV

NEGLIGENCE-DAVID GONZALEZ SANCHEZ- OFELIA MARTINEZ

Plaintiff, OFELIA MARTINEZ, by and through her attorneys, CLIFFORD OFFICES, P.C., complaining of the Defendant, DAVID GONZALEZ SANCHEZ, states as follows:

- 1. On and before October 12, 2007, US 59 was a public highway, running in a generally north and south direction near Livingston, Polk County, Texas.
- 2. On and before October 12, 2007, there was a private truck stop located on US 59 in Polk County, Texas.
- On and before October 12, 2007, Defendant, MASON AND DIXON LINES, was a corporation engaged in interstate commercial trucking and does business in Cook County, Illinois.
- On October 12, 2007, David Gonzalez Sanchez was the duly authorized agent and/or employee of Defendant, MASON AND DIXON LINES, and at all times relevant hereto was acting

within the scope of his agency and/or employment.

- On October 12, 2007, David Gonzalez Sanchez operated a semi truck and trailer US
 at or near Polk County, Texas; said truck was owned and operated by MASON AND DIXON
 LINES.
- 6. On October 12, 2007, Plaintiff JOSE MARTINEZ, owned operated managed and maintained a GMC Envoy, traveling in a northbound direction on US 59, near miles post 440, in Livingston, Polk County, Texas; OFELIA MARTINEZ was a passenger in said vehicle and both Plaintiffs are residents of Illinois.
- 7. On October 12, 2007, at the time and place aforesaid David Gonzalez Sanchez made a right hand turn, turning out of the private truck stop and onto US 59 and in front of the vehicle driven by the Plaintiffs, JOSE MARTINEZ and OFELIA MARTINEZ.
- 8. On October 12, 2007, the Plaintiffs, JOSE MARTINEZ and OFELIA MARTINEZ, made contact with the semi truck and trailer directly in front of them, operated by David Gonzalez Sanchez.
- On October 12, 2007, DAVID GONZALEZ SANCHEZ owed a duty to the Plaintiff,
 OFELIA MARTINEZ, to exercise reasonable care in the operation and control of his motor vehicle.
- 10. On October 12, 2007, DAVID GONZALEZ SANCHEZ was negligent in one or more of the following respects:
 - negligently operated, managed, maintained and controlled his motor vehicle;
 - operated a motor vehicle without keeping a proper and sufficient lookout;
 - failed to exercise due care as to avoid colliding with another vehicle;
 - d. failed to give audible warning with his horn when such warning was

reasonably necessary to ensure safety;

- e. failed to provide or obey sufficient signals, designators and markings so as to ensure the safe and proper passage of vehicle traffic;
- f. failed to survey, monitor, control and yield to vehicular traffic;
- g. failed to yield the right-of-way to Plaintiff and her vehicle;
- h. was otherwise careless and negligent.
- 11. As a direct and proximate result of one or more of the aforesaid negligent acts Plaintiff, OFELIA MARTINEZ, sustained injuries of a personal, permanent and pecuniary nature.

WHEREFORE, Plaintiff, OFELIA MARTINEZ, demands judgment against Defendant, DAVID GONZALEZ SANCHEZ, in an amount in excess of FIFTY THOUSAND DOLLARS (\$50,000.00).

Attorneys for the Plaintiffs

Brian T. Nash CLIFFORD LAW OFFICES, P.C. 120 North LaSalle Street Suite 3100 Chicago, Illinois 60602 (312)899-9090

Atty No.: 32640

BTN/rc

9N-0072

IN THE CIRCUIT COURT OF COOK COUNTY, ILLINOIS COUNTY DEPARTMENT, LAW DIVISION

JOSE MARTINEZ and)
OFELIA MARTINEZ,)
)
Plaintiffs,)
)
vs.) No.
THE MASON AND DIXON LINES,) Plaintiffs demand a trial by jury.
INCORPORATED, a corporation, and)
DAVID GONZALEZ SANCHEZ,)
)
Defendants.)

AFFIDAVIT REGARDING DAMAGES SOUGHT

NOW COMES the Plaintiffs, JOSE MARTINEZ and OFELIA MARTINEZ, by and through their attorney, BRIAN T. NASH, your affiant and being first duly sworn under oath, states as follows:

- 1. That your affiant is one of the attorneys of record for the parties in this matter.
- 2. That the total money damages sought in this civil action exceeds an amount in excess of \$50,000.00.

BRIAN T. NASH

[X] Under penalties as provided by law pursuant to 735 ILCS 5/1-109 (1993), I certify that the statements set forth herein are true and correct.